



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,289	10/21/2003	Sheila Shaw	SS-101.P.1	4575
24232	24232 7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVID R PRESTON & ASSOCIATES 12625 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE SUITE 205			· THOMPSON, KATHRYN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92130	·	3763	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/690,289	SHAW, SHEILA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kathryn L. Thompson	3763			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/15/05</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims		,			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)		•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Infor	5) Notice of Informal Potent Application (DTO 152)					
			<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 10/690,289

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blair (US 5,304,145), Chandler (US 5,468,229) (Column 2, Line 25), Bennes et al (US 5,853,396), Sutherland et al (US 6,126,639) (Column 3, Line 58), Lee (6,436,074) (Column 3, Line 26), and McDaniel (US 6,544,232). The prior art discloses a peritoneal dialysis security band comprising an elastic band that is continuous, a catheter retaining pocket positioned along a portion of said elastic band, wherein said pocket is able to accept a catheter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blair, Chandler, Bennes et al, Sutherland et al, Lee, and McDaniel. The prior art does not

Art Unit: 3763

disclose expressly that the elastic band has a width from about 3 inches to about 6 inches. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make the band from about 3 to 6 inches in width because Applicant has not disclosed that these dimensions provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the dimensions taught by the prior art or the claimed 3 to 6 inches because both dimensions perform the same function equally well. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the prior art to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 7.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that the cited references of prior art do not disclose a "continuous elastic band." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant recites in Claim 1 that the elastic band is continuous. Examiner believes that all the elastic bands of the prior art of reference are indeed continuous. For example, they are all continuous along at least one portion of the whole band. Whether one takes a horizontal portion of the elastic bands or a vertical portion of the elastic bands, one will find continuity.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn L. Thompson whose telephone number is 703-305-3286. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM: 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,289

Art Unit: 3763

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KLT

CONTROL OF LUCKIESI

TUPLL I I O'N PATENT EVANUME TOOY CENTER **3700**